

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,182	03/25/2004	Kuniharu Umeno	033036.076	6820
	7590 04/16/2007 BRELL & RUSSELL		EXAMINER SELLERS, ROBERT E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1712	
SUITE 3100, F	PROMENADE II			
1230 PEACHT ATLANTA, G	TREE STREET, N.E. A 30307-3592			
, , ,				
				•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,182	UMENO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Sellers	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 I</u>	March 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-	` '			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicat	ion No. <u>10/797,706</u> .	•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage)			
application from the International Burea	` ','					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	∍d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

This is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed March 7, 2007.

Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of curing accelerator, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The election of triphenylphosphine not embraced by general formula (3) or (4) was made **without** traverse in the non-Final rejection mailed June 28, 2006.

The declaration filed March 7, 2007 lists new Japanese priority application nos. 2003-072860, 2003-072861, 2003-064331 and 2003-064332 whose certified copies are in parent application no. 10/797,706.

The terminal disclaimer filed March 7, 2007 overcomes the obviousness-type double patenting over Umeno et al. Patent No. 7,023,098.

The text of sections 112, 102(e) and 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the non-Final rejection and Final rejection mailed November 7, 2006.

- 1. There is no support on page 9, line 21 to page 12, line 8 for a mixture of the curing accelerator of general formula (3) and that of general formula (4) embraced by the term "and/or" in line 4 of withdrawn claim 10.
- 2. The phrase "selected from" in claim 10, lines 7-8 and 9 is improper Markush language in the absence of the phrase "selected from the group consisting of."

Application/Control Number: 10/809,182

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Umeno et al. Patent No. 7,023,098.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office actions. The arguments filed March 7, 2003 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

- 3. The claimed repeating unit values "n" for the epoxy resin of general formula (1) and phenol resin of general formula (2) of from 1 to 10 is not substantiated by the range of from 0.5 to 5 set forth in Japanese priority application nos. 2003-083937 (page 2, lines 24-25 and page 5, paragraph 7, lines 4-5 with respect to the epoxy resin and page 3, lines 4-5 and page 6, lines 4-5 regarding the phenol resin) and 2003-083938 (page 2, lines 23-24 and page 5, paragraph 7, lines 4-5 with respect to the epoxy resin and page 3, lines 4-5 and page 6, lines 4-5 regarding the phenol resin). Therefore, the effective filling date for the claimed epoxy resin and phenol resin is the filling date of the instant application of March 25, 2004. The filling date of Umeno et al. of March 10, 2004 antedates the effective filling date of the instant application.
- 4. More favorable consideration would be given to the amendment of the parameters for "n" in general formula (1) and (2) of from 0.5 to 5 as described in the Japanese priority applications.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/809,182

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallo Patent No. 6,432,540 and Iwasaki et al. Patent No. 6,242,110 in view of Japanese Patent No. 3-29352.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final and Final rejections. No arguments have been presented contesting this rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712